

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/688,462 | • | 10/16/2000 | Gotthard Schmid | A-2569 . | 3793 |
| | 7590 | 04/22/2002 | | | |
| Lerner and | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Post Office E Hollywood, I | | • | YAN, REN LUO | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2854 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| **** | - | Application | on No. | plicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| * • | | 09/688,46 | 52 | SCHMID, GOTTHARD | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ren L Ya | n | 2854 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 04 February 20 | <u> 202</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is | non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| · · · _ | The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | | |
| , | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a | | objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13)🛛 | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/688,462

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-11 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giori et al(6,101,939) in view of Mueller et al(5,802,973). The '939 patent teaches the basic structure of a sheet printing machine including the first and second printing units, and a feeding drum 29 for transferring the sheets to the second impression cylinder as claimed. However, the '939 patent does not appear to disclose an adjusting device for register correction to the feeding device. See the figure in the '939 patent for details. The patent to Mueller et al teaches in a multicolor sheetfed printing machine the conventionality of using a sheet position sensor 3 assigned to an impression cylinder to sense the position of the sheet being printed. The sheet position sensor 3 belongs to a register-adjusting device which affords circumferential, side, and diagonal register adjustments of each of the plurality of printing units. See Figs. 1 and 2, column 5, lines 22-38 and column 6, lines 35-59 in Mueller et al for example. In view of the teaching of Mueller et al, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the printing machine of the Giori patent with the sheet register- adjusting device appropriately disposed as taught by Mueller et al in order to ensure proper registration of the multicolor images being printed.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,462

Art Unit: 2854

4. Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giori et al in

Page 3

view of Mueller et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Drapatsky et

al(5,103,733). Giori, as modified by Mueller, may not show the use of multiple sensors disposed

at various locations to monitor the positions of the sheets. Drapatsky et al teach in a sheet-fed

printing machine the conventional use of multiple photo-sensors to monitor the positions of the

sheets being processed in relation to the angular position of the impression cylinder and

electronic control means provided to adjust the position of the sheet to register the sheets with

respect to the position of the printing cylinders. See Figs. 4-8 in Drapatsky et al for example. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sheet-printing machine

of Giori, as modified by Mueller et al, with multiple sensors positioned at various locations so as

to ensure proper registration of the sheets with respect to the various printing units.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L Yan whose telephone number is 703-308-0978. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 703-305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan April 18, 2002